



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 10/667,665 | 09/23/2003 | Emmanuel Kanterakis | 57042-080 | 9105 |
| 7590 02/24/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| McDermott, Will & Emery 600 13th Street, N. W. Washington, DC 20005-3096 | | | BOCURE, TESFALDET | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| • , | | | 2631 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/667,665 | KANTERAKIS ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Tesfaldet Bocure | 2631 | | | | |
| | ication appears on the cover sheet wit | h the correspondence address | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a renunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA | rply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) file | ed on 23 September 2003. | | | | | |
| , | 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| / | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| . 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| , | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | ., | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies | of the priority documents have been r | received in this National Stage | | | | |
| | nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office actio | n for a list of the certified copies not r | eceived. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F | /Mail Date | | | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/23/03, 2/11/, 6/30</u>. | PTO/SB/08) 5) \(\sum \) Notice of Inf 6) \(\sum \) Other: \(\sum_{} \) | formal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Art Unit: 2631

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The Information Disclosure Statements (IDSs) received September 23, 2003 June 30, February 30, 2004 and the Examiner has considered 2004, and the initialed copies (four copies) of the 1449 are attached with this correspondence.

Double Patenting

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,639,936. This is a double patenting rejection. Examiner cannot substantiate the difference between the claimed invention in the instant application and that U.S. Patent No. 6,639,936.

Double Patenting

4. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

Art Unit: 2631

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 5. Claims 1-4 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-4 of copending Application No. 10/649,816. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.
- 6. Examiner cannot substantiate the difference between the claimed invention in the instant application and that of 10/649,816.

Double Patenting

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. Claim 3 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claim 1 of U. S. Patent No. 6,639,939 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming

Art Unit: 2631

common subject matter, as follows: The claimed subject matter in claim 1 of the instant application differs from that of U.S. Patent No. 6,639,936 in that it has been claimed as a method claim in the instant application and as an apparatus claim in the U.S. Patent No. 6,639,939. However, you cannot infringe the method without an apparatus and viseversa, i.e., the claimed method having a corresponding steps should be performed by a respective circuitry in the apparatus and the other way too.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. IEE publication, "Common Packet Channel (CPCH): The optimum wireless Internet mechanism in W-CDMA," Dr. Kourosh Parsa discloses a collision resolution and power control in CDMA transmission system.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tesfaldet Bocure whose telephone number is (571) 272-3015. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur (7:30a-5:00p) & Mon.-Fri (7:30a-5:00p).

Art Unit: 2631

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad H Ghayour can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tesfalliet,

Art Unit 2

Primary Examiner

T.Bocure